	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/019,263	MATSUMORI, AKIRA
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	San-ming Hui	1617	

All Participants:

(1) _San-ming Hui_.

(2) _Mr. Warren Cheek, attorney of record_.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _8 June 2005_

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Cheek informed the Examiner that the applicant would let the instant case go abandoned in favor of the continuation application.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ 6/10/05

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)